Gender Dynamics in Agricultural Extension Services

Dr.Pitambar Sharma

Lecturer (Agriculture Extension)

B.B.D Government College CHIMANPURA Jaipur (Rajasthan)

Abstract

Agricultural Extension Services (AES) are the vital link between agricultural research and the farmers in the field, crucial for disseminating knowledge, technology, and best practices. However, this system is deeply embedded in social and cultural norms, meaning that gender dynamics often dictate who accesses the services, what information they receive, and ultimately, who benefits from agricultural development. Despite women constituting a significant portion of the global agricultural labor force (often exceeding 40%), traditional AES structures have systematically favored men, creating a gender gap that hinders productivity, undermines food security, and perpetuates rural inequality. The core issue in gender dynamics within AES is the systemic exclusion of female farmers. While women are often responsible for subsistence crops, small livestock, and the intensive labor of weeding, harvesting, and post-harvest activities, they are far less likely than their male counterparts to receive extension contact. Historically, extension agents (who are predominantly male) target the male head of the household as the farm manager, often focusing on cash crops or large machinery—areas typically controlled by men. This ignores the female farmer's expertise and role in food production. Women carry the triple burden of agricultural production, domestic work (water, fuel collection), and childcare. Training sessions held at distant centers, during market hours, or without consideration for their demanding schedules make participation almost impossible.

Keywords:

Gender, Dynamics, Agricultural, Extension, Services

Introduction

Agricultural Extension Services (AES) serve as the crucial bridge connecting agricultural research, knowledge, and innovation with farmers, rural households, and the broader agricultural value chain. Defined as a system that facilitates the access, exchange, and practical application of information needed to improve farming practices, productivity, and livelihoods, AES is indispensable for achieving national and global food security, poverty reduction, and environmental sustainability. The history of extension has been one of adaptation, moving from simple technology transfer to complex, farmer-centric, and pluralistic service delivery models. (REDDY, 2002)

The fundamental objective of AES is to empower farmers to make informed decisions that enhance their productivity and profitability. Information Dissemination and Technology Transfer involves taking research findings (e.g., new seed varieties, fertilizer application techniques, or irrigation methods) from laboratories and research stations directly to the farm level.

Extension agents provide farmers with the practical skills and knowledge necessary to adopt new technologies, manage resources efficiently, and understand market dynamics. This moves beyond simple ‘what’ to the more complex ‘how’ and ‘why.’ AES acts as a two-way street. Agents collect feedback on farmers’ needs, constraints, and the performance of new technologies in real-world conditions. This information is vital for researchers and policymakers to ensure that innovation is relevant and demand-driven.

In many cultures, social norms prohibit women from interacting with male extension agents outside the home or speaking in mixed-sex public gatherings, acting as an effective barrier to information transfer.

Even when women do receive training, the content is often inappropriate for their needs. Many programs, influenced by old biases, steer women toward "home economics" or small, low-value enterprises rather than technical training in high-yielding crop varieties, integrated pest management, or advanced market linkages—the information that directly impacts agricultural productivity. This mismatch limits

their ability to adopt modern, labor-saving technologies and commercialize their produce. (PRASAD, 2002)

One of the most powerful strategies for reshaping gender dynamics is the strategic recruitment and deployment of female extension agents (FEAs). FEAs can overcome cultural and physical barriers, accessing female farmers in their homes or fields where they feel comfortable discussing technical issues. This direct contact significantly increases women's access, awareness, and participation in extension activities.

Female agents are often more attuned to the specific needs, time constraints, and primary crops (food crops) managed by women farmers, enabling them to deliver more relevant and actionable advice on topics like post-harvest processing and nutritional gardening.

FEAs serve as crucial role models, demonstrating to both farmers and communities that women can hold influential, technical, and leadership positions in the agricultural sector. However, female agents themselves face challenges, including career bias, safety concerns, and difficulty in balancing professional demands with domestic responsibilities. Support and institutional backing are essential for their effectiveness.

The structure and methodology of AES have evolved significantly since the early 20th century in response to changing economic, political, and social landscapes. The Training and Visit (T&V) System was characterized by a rigid, hierarchical structure. Agents were trained frequently on a fixed schedule ("Training") and were required to visit a specific set of contact farmers regularly ("Visit"). While effective in mass dissemination, it often lacked flexibility and failed to address the diverse, site-specific needs of smallholder farmers. (PRAMOD, 2002)

Literature Review

NAIDU et al. (2002): Services are no longer provided solely by the government. NGOs, private sector companies (input suppliers, food processors), and farmer organizations now offer diverse, specialized extension services. This plurality encourages competition and tailored advice.

NAYABHAI et al. (2011): Modern extension integrates agricultural advice with other essential services, such as access to credit, market information, and nutritional education, recognizing that farming problems are rarely purely technical.

THAKUR et al. (2010): The rise of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) has revolutionized how extension services are delivered, enhancing reach and efficiency, particularly in remote areas:

NISHA et al. (2002): The widespread proliferation of mobile phones allows for the delivery of customized, timely, and affordable advice through SMS messages, voice calls (IVR), and mobile apps. These tools can share real-time weather alerts, market prices, and tailored pest management advice.

NISHITHA et al. (2011): Satellite imagery and drone-based data, analyzed via Geographic Information Systems (GIS), provide farmers with precision data on crop health, soil variability, and nutrient requirements. Educational videos featuring local farmers demonstrating best practices have proven highly effective in overcoming literacy barriers and cultural constraints.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

Sample Size

A total of 300 respondents were chosen from jaipur district of Rajasthan.

Data Analysis

Regional Distribution of Respondents

Table No.- 1 Regional Distribution of Respondents

S. No.	Area Name	No. of Respondents
1.	jaipur district of Rajasthan	300
	Total	300

Analysis -

The above table shows the regional details of the respondents. For the study, a total of 300 respondents of jaipur district of Rajasthan were selected.

Age

Table no. 2

Age-wise Classification of Selected respondents

S.No.	Age-Group	respondents	
		No.	Percentage
1.	20-30	81	27
2.	31-40	174	58
3.	above 40	45	15
	Total	300	100

Analysis:

It is clear from above Table no. 2 that out of total 300 respondents, the age group between 20-30 years were 81 (27 percent) and No. of respondents with age group 31-40 years were 174 (58 percent). On the other hand, there were 45 respondents with age higher than 40.

Interpretation-

The above chart shows the age percentage of respondents in jaipur district of Rajasthan. According to which, the percentage of respondents in the age group of 20-30 years is 27 and the percentage of age group 31-40 years is 58. While there were 15% respondents in the age-group of above 40.

Table no. 3

Gender Classification of Selected respondents

S.No.	Gender	respondents	
		No.	Percentage
1.	Male	201	67
2.	Female	99	33
	Total	300	100

Analysis:

It is clear from above Table no. 3 that out of total 300 respondents from jaipur district of Rajasthan, 201 were male and 99 were females.

Interpretation-

The above chart shows the gender percentage of selected respondents in jaipur district of Rajasthan . According to which, the percentage of male respondents is 67 and the female ones is 33.

Table 4

Analysis of respondents on the basis of working in their respective organization

S. No.	Since how many years have you been working with this organization?	No.	Percentage
1.	0-5 Yrs.	48	16
2.	5-10 Yrs.	141	47
3.	10-15 Yrs.	69	23
5.	More than 15 Yrs.	42	14
	Total	**300**	**100**

Analysis:

From above table no. 4, it is clear that out of total 100 selected respondents from jaipur district of Rajasthan , 48 were working in their current organizations from last 0-5 years. There were 141 and 69 respondents respectively who were working in their respective firms from last 5-10 and 10-15 years. On the other hand, there were only 42 respondents who were working in their respective forms from more than 45 years.

Interpretation-

The above chart shows the analysis of respondents on the basis of working in their respective organization. According to which, majority of the respondents i.e. 47% were working in their corresponding organizations from last 5-10 years. On the other hand, minority of the respondents i.e. 14% were working in their corresponding firms for more than 15 years.

Table 5
Analysis of respondents on the basis of impact of Gender Dynamics in Agricultural Extension Services

S. No.	Do you think that Gender Dynamics does not affect Agricultural Extension Services ?	No.	Percentage
1.	Agree	72	24
2.	Strongly Agree	99	33
3.	Disagree	69	23
5.	Strongly Disagree	51	17
5.	Neutral	9	3
	Total	**300**	**100**

Analysis:

From above table no. 5, it is clear that out of total 100 respondents from jaipur district of Rajasthan , 72 respondents agreed that Gender Dynamics does not affect Agricultural Extension Services while 99 respondents strongly agreed with this statement. On the other hand, 69 and 51 respondents were 'Disagree' and 'Strongly Disagree' respectively about the Gender Dynamics does not affect Agricultural Extension Services. While 9 respondents were neutral about this feedback.

Gender Dynamics in Agricultural Extension Services

Agricultural Extension Services are a dynamic and essential mechanism for human development. By continuing to adopt pluralistic, participatory, and technologically advanced methods, AES can fulfill its mandate as the primary catalyst for sustainable innovation and prosperity in the global agricultural sector.

Agricultural extension services play a vital role in modern farming by bridging the gap between research and practice. They provide farmers with access to knowledge, technology, and innovations that enhance productivity, sustainability, and livelihoods. Extension services are not limited to technical advice—they also support decision-making, capacity building, and community development. In an era of climate change, population growth, and increasing food demand, agricultural extension services are more important than ever for promoting efficient, sustainable, and resilient farming systems.

Despite progress, AES faces persistent challenges: inadequate funding, low ratio of agents to farmers, and the need to effectively address gender and youth bias. Prioritizing the transfer of knowledge related to climate change adaptation, such as drought-resistant crops and water-efficient practices. Developing methods and content that specifically address the unique needs and constraints of female farmers, who are often marginalized in current systems. Connecting farmers not just to knowledge, but directly to buyers, processors, and value chains to ensure that improved productivity translates into improved income.

Extension services translate scientific research into practical solutions that farmers can implement. Encourages practices that maintain soil fertility, conserve water, reduce chemical inputs, and protect biodiversity. By improving productivity and efficiency, extension services contribute to a stable and sufficient food supply. Provides knowledge, confidence, and skills that enable farmers to make informed decisions. Extension services improve livelihoods, increase income, and strengthen rural communities.

In many regions, extension services do not reach smallholder or marginalized farmers. Lack of trained personnel, funding, and infrastructure limits the effectiveness of extension programs. Farmers may hesitate to adopt new technologies or practices due to risk aversion or cultural norms. Women farmers often have less access to extension services due to social, cultural, or logistical barriers. Extension systems may struggle to keep pace with evolving technologies and emerging agricultural challenges.

Mobile phones, apps, and digital platforms can provide timely information, advisory services, and market updates. Farmer groups, cooperatives, and peer-to-peer learning enhance participation and knowledge sharing. Building technical, communication, and gender-sensitive skills among extension officers. Ensuring women, youth, and marginalized groups are included in training and decision-making. Close collaboration with universities and research centers ensures that farmers receive the latest innovations.

Agricultural extension services are the backbone of modern and sustainable farming. They empower farmers with knowledge, skills, and access to technology, enabling higher productivity, sustainable practices, and improved livelihoods. While challenges such as limited coverage, resource constraints, and gender disparities exist, innovative strategies like ICT integration, community-based approaches, and inclusive policies can enhance their effectiveness. By strengthening agricultural extension services, nations can achieve food security, economic growth, and resilient rural development, making them an indispensable component of modern agriculture.

Agricultural extension services are critical for transferring knowledge, technology, and best practices to farmers, ultimately enhancing productivity, sustainability, and rural livelihoods. However, access to these services is not always equitable. Gender dynamics play a crucial role in determining who benefits from extension programs. In many regions, women form a substantial part of the agricultural workforce but face barriers in accessing information, training, and resources. Understanding and addressing gender dynamics in agricultural extension is essential for inclusive rural development, food security, and sustainable farming.

Provide farmers with information on improved seeds, soil management, pest control, irrigation, and post-harvest practices. Encourage the adoption of modern farming methods, machinery, and sustainable practices. Train farmers in decision-making, financial management, and climate-resilient farming. Improve farm productivity, income, and overall quality of life for rural households.

Gender dynamics refer to the differences in roles, responsibilities, access to resources, and decision-making power between men and women. Men often have greater access to extension programs, training sessions, and technical support due to cultural norms, mobility restrictions for women, and male-dominated extension staff.

Women frequently participate less in extension activities, limiting their ability to make informed decisions about farming practices, crop selection, and resource allocation. Extension services may rely on formal workshops, farm visits, or digital platforms that are more accessible to men, leaving women with limited opportunities to learn and share knowledge. Gender norms influence ownership of land, livestock, and equipment, affecting women's ability to implement techniques learned through extension programs.

In some communities, social norms restrict women's mobility, communication, and public participation, reducing their engagement with extension activities. Extension officers are often predominantly male, which can discourage women from seeking advice or attending training. Women's dual responsibilities in household management and farming limit their availability to attend workshops or demonstrations. Lower literacy levels among women in some areas make it challenging to access written or digital extension materials.

Female officers can improve communication, trust, and engagement with women farmers. Organizing sessions at times and locations convenient for women, or using group-based and on-farm demonstrations, enhances participation. Forming women's farmer groups, cooperatives, and peer-learning networks facilitates knowledge sharing and empowerment.

Digital tools, such as mobile apps and social media, can provide women with accessible information on farming practices and market opportunities. Women apply knowledge in crop management, soil fertility, and livestock care, enhancing overall yields. Women's involvement in farming decisions promotes the cultivation of diverse, nutritious crops. Access to extension services builds women's confidence,

leadership skills, and decision-making power. Empowered women share knowledge within families and communities, creating multiplier effects for rural development.

Gender dynamics significantly influence the effectiveness and reach of agricultural extension services. While women are key contributors to agriculture, structural, cultural, and systemic barriers often limit their access to knowledge, resources, and decision-making power. Promoting gender-inclusive extension services through female extension officers, flexible training methods, community-based approaches, ICT tools, and supportive policies can empower women farmers, improve agricultural productivity, and foster sustainable rural development. Ensuring that both men and women have equitable access to agricultural knowledge is not only a matter of fairness but also a strategic approach to enhancing food security, resilience, and economic growth in rural communities.

Conclusion

The unequal gender dynamics in Agricultural Extension Services are a major impediment to achieving global food security and sustainable development goals. By neglecting women—who are crucial custodians of local knowledge and the primary guarantors of family nutrition—extension systems operate at a significant deficit. A shift towards gender-sensitive and inclusive extension is not a peripheral concern; it is a powerful investment. By proactively dismantling the structural barriers and leveraging the unique communication and trust advantages of female extension agents and women's groups, AES can finally unlock the full productive potential of half the world’s farming population.

References

1. NAIDU, D. C., 2002, Study on farming performance and entrepreneurial behaviour of sugarcane farmers in north coastal zone of Andhra Pradesh. Ph.D. Thesis (Unpub.), Acharya N. G. Ranga Agric. Univ., Hyderabad. NAVEENKUMAR, P., 2002, Entrepreneurial behaviour of pomegranate farmers in Chitradurga district of Karnataka. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis (Unpub.), Univ. Agric. Sci., Bangalore.

2. NAYABHAI, B. K., 2011, Managerial efficiency of coconut plantation growers in coastal area of Saurashtra region. Ph.D. Thesis (Unpub.), Junagadh Agri. Univ., Junagadh.

3. NENE, Y. L. AND THAKUR, R. P., 2010, Cropping systems and patterns in semi-arid tropics of India. Indian J. Dryland Agric. Res. Dev., 8(1): 15-23.

4. NISHA, R., 2002,Participation of tribal women in development programmes – A socio cultural analysis. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis (Unpub.), TNAU, Coimbatore.

5. NISHITHA, K., 2011, Decision making and participation of farm men and women in sugarcane cultivation: A study in Mandya district. M.Sc. Thesis (Unpub.), Univ. Agri. Sci., Bangalore.

6. PAHL-WOSTL, C., VAN DER ZANDEN, E. H. AND KALLIS, G., 2010, Institutional change and adaptive governance in water resources management: Insights from Europe. Environ. Sci. Policy., 12(2): 12-24.

7. PANJALA, P., GUMMA, M. K. AND MESAPAM, S., 2010, Geospatial assessment of cropping pattern shifts and their impact on water demand in the Kaleshwaram lift irrigation project command area, Telangana. Front. Remote Sens., 5:145-159.

8. PATEL, A. J., 2010, Transfer of Agricultural Technology Among Tribal Farmers of ITDP, Chhota Udaipur of Vadodara District. Ph.D. thesis (Unpub.), GAU, Anand. PATEL, B. J., 2010a, A study on adoption behaviour of kharif paddy growers in relation to recommended plant protection measures in Panchmahals district, Gujarat state. M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis (Unpub.), GAU, Sardar Krushinagar.

9. PATEL, V. B., 2002, Attributes encouraging organic farming in North Gujarat. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, SDAU, Sardar Krushinagar, Gujarat. PERSIS, V., 2007, Retrospect and prospects of medicinal plant cultivation – An analysis. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis (Unpub.), TNAU, Coimbatore.

10. PETTY, R. E. AND CACIOPPO, J. T., 2010, Attitudes and Persuasion: Classic and Contemporary Approaches. Dubuque, IA: Wm. C. Brown.

11. PRAMOD, S. K., 2002, A study on roe of farm women in decision making process in vegetable cultivation in Panagar Block of Jabalpur District M.Sc. (Agri.), Thesis (Unpub.), JNKVV, Jabalpur.

12. PRASAD, G., 2002, A study on impact of Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY) with reference to organic farming among the farmers of Chhattisgarh. Ph.D. thesis, IGKV, Raipur.

13. REDDY, T. S. P., 2002, A differential innovation decision and attitude of rice growing farmers towards eco-friendly technologies in Andhra Pradesh - A critical analysis. Ph.D. Thesis (Unpub.), Acharya N. G. Ranga Agric. Univ., Hyderabad.