International Journal of Advanced Research in Management and Social Sciences

ISSN: 2278-6236
Impact Factor: 8.624

Perception, Readiness, and Artificial Intelligence Adoption: Predicting Holistic Learning Outcomes among Nigerian Undergraduates

[1]**Omoyajowo Bamidele Stephen PhD**
Department of Science Education, Lead City University Ibadan
Email: Omoyajowo.bamidele@lcu.edu.ng
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7824-2580 Phone: 09039287758, 07034563375
[2]**Femi Adeoye Alabi PhD**
Department of Science Education, Lead City University Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.
falabious@yahoo.com
+2348035085305
[3].**Caroline Oluwatoyin Aiyegboka**
Ministry of defence Command Day Secondary School, Letmauck Cantonment, Mokola Ibadan.
Phone number: +2347031951466
aiyegbokacaro@gmail.com

Abstract

This study investigated the influence of undergraduates' perceptions (awareness, attitude, willingness) and readiness (technological, psychological, and institutional preparedness) on holistic learning outcomes-cognitive, affective, and psychomotor-in Nigerian universities within Ibadan Metropolis. A descriptive survey design was adopted, with a sample of 300 undergraduate students selected through stratified random sampling to ensure representation across faculties and levels of study. Data were collected using the University Preparedness and Readiness for AI Questionnaire (UPRAIQ), which demonstrated strong reliability (Cronbach's alpha > 0.80). Descriptive statistics (frequency, percentages, mean, weighted mean) were used to assess perceptions, readiness, and learning outcomes, while multiple regression analysis and ANOVA were employed to determine the influence of the independent variables on holistic learning outcomes. The study was anchored by Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) by Davis (1989), which tprovide a robust theoretical basis for explaining both the adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and its educational outcomes. Findings revealed that students exhibited high levels of awareness, positive attitudes, willingness to use AI, and technological and psychological preparedness, whereas institutional preparedness was moderate. Both perception and readiness significantly predicted cognitive, affective, and psychomotor outcomes, with a strong joint influence ($R = 0.714$, $R^2 = 0.510$, $F = 209.726$, $p < 0.001$). The study concludes that students' perceptions and readiness are critical determinants of AI-enhanced learning outcomes. It recommends that universities strengthen institutional support, provide training, and develop policies that encourage AI adoption to optimize holistic learning.

Background to the Study

The rapid evolution of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has significantly transformed education worldwide, reshaping teaching, learning, assessment, and student engagement processes. AI technologies now play a crucial role in enhancing learning efficiency, personalizing instruction, and fostering higher-order thinking skills across educational levels (Ajiye & Omokhabi, 2025; Acosta-Enriquez & Facil, 2024). In higher education, AI-driven tools such

as intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, virtual laboratories, automated assessment systems, and AI-assisted academic writing tools are increasingly deployed to support students' cognitive, affective, and psychomotor development. These AI technologies enable immediate feedback, interactive learning experiences, and practical skill acquisition, thereby positioning AI as a key driver of holistic learning outcomes in contemporary universities (Ojokheta et al., 2025; Ajiye et al., 2024). In Nigeria, digital technologies have progressively influenced educational practices, with universities increasingly integrating AI and related digital innovations into teaching, learning, research, and student support services (Omokhabi, 2021; Ketim & Ajiye, 2024). Urban academic centres such as Ibadan Metropolis represent emerging hubs of AI adoption due to relatively better digital infrastructure and academic innovation.

However, evidence from Nigerian studies suggests that the effectiveness of AI integration depends not solely on the availability of digital tools but significantly on students' perception and readiness to engage with these technologies (Ojokheta & Omokhabi, 2023; Ajiye & Omokhabi, 2025). Perception involves students' awareness, attitude, and willingness to use AI in learning, while readiness encompasses technological, psychological, and institutional preparedness. Students who are aware of AI applications, hold positive attitudes toward digital innovation, and demonstrate willingness to adopt AI tools are more likely to benefit from AI-enhanced learning environments (Ojokheta et al., 2025; Ukpabi & Ajiye, 2025). Similarly, readiness factors such as access to digital devices, confidence in technology use, motivation, institutional support, and ethical guidance significantly influence students' ability to leverage AI for academic purposes (Ajiye et al., 2024; Omokhabi, 2023). Despite the growing body of research on digital technologies and AI in Nigeria, empirical studies examining the combined influence of students' perception and readiness on holistic learning outcomes remain limited. Existing studies often focus on awareness, ICT utilization, or ethical concerns in isolation (Odiaka & Ajiye, 2021; Omokhabi, 2021), leaving a gap in understanding how these multidimensional factors interact to influence cognitive, affective, and psychomotor learning outcomes in higher education. This gap provides the empirical and conceptual justification for the present study.

Statement of the Problem

Although AI has the potential to transform teaching and learning, its adoption in Nigerian universities faces significant challenges. Many students may have limited awareness of AI tools, mixed attitudes, or low willingness to integrate them into learning. Likewise, readiness factors such as access to digital infrastructure, technological skills, motivation, and institutional support vary widely, affecting students' ability to benefit from AI. The lack of holistic empirical evidence on how students' perceptions and readiness jointly predict learning outcomes presents a critical gap in educational research. Without this understanding, universities may invest in AI technologies without ensuring that students are prepared to utilize them effectively. Consequently, the potential of AI to improve cognitive understanding, foster positive attitudes, and develop practical skills remains under-realized. This study, therefore, seeks to investigate how undergraduates' perceptions (awareness, attitude, willingness) and readiness (technological, psychological, institutional preparedness) influence holistic learning outcomes, with a focus on universities within Ibadan Metropolis. By addressing this problem, the research aims to provide actionable

insights for educational policymakers, administrators, and instructors to optimize AI adoption for enhanced student learning.

Objectives of the Study

i. Determine how undergraduates' perceptions and readiness for AI influence their cognitive learning outcomes.
ii. Examine the effect of students' perceptions and readiness on affective outcomes, including motivation, engagement, and confidence.

Research Questions

1. Does **cognitive learning outcomes** influence by undergraduates' perceptions and readiness for AI?
2. To what extent do perceptions and readiness for AI affect **affective learning outcomes**?

Hypothesis

H_{03}: Perception and readiness jointly have no significant influence on learning outcomes.

Significance of the Study

This study is significant both theoretically and practically as it advances understanding of how undergraduates' perceptions and readiness for Artificial Intelligence (AI) adoption influence holistic learning outcomes—cognitive, affective, and psychomotor—within Nigerian universities. Theoretically, the study extends existing knowledge by empirically integrating the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) with Bloom's Taxonomy of Learning Domains, demonstrating that perception variables (awareness, attitude, and willingness) and readiness factors (technological, psychological, and institutional preparedness) jointly predict multidimensional learning outcomes rather than mere technology usage intentions. Empirically, the study fills a critical gap in Nigerian and African higher-education research by providing robust evidence that AI-related perception and readiness variables account for a substantial proportion of variance in students' learning outcomes (R^2 = 0.510), moving beyond descriptive accounts to establish statistically significant predictive relationships across cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains. From a policy and institutional perspective, the findings offer actionable insights for policymakers, university administrators, and regulatory bodies by highlighting the role of institutional preparedness in shaping effective AI-enhanced learning, thereby underscoring the need for policies that support infrastructure development, staff training, ethical AI use, and curriculum integration. Pedagogically, the study demonstrates that AI-enhanced learning environments foster improved motivation, engagement, confidence, analytical reasoning, and practical skills, encouraging educators to adopt AI as a pedagogical resource for personalized learning, formative assessment, and skills development rather than merely as a content delivery tool. In terms of student development, the findings emphasize the importance of psychological readiness—confidence, motivation, and openness to innovation—in promoting successful AI-supported learning, contributing to the development of adaptable, digitally literate, and workforce-ready graduates. At a broader societal level, the study supports Nigeria's digital transformation and human capital development agenda by providing empirical evidence to guide technology-driven education reform and the creation of a future-ready higher-education system capable of producing globally competitive graduates.

Brief Literature Review

2.1.1 Perception of AI

Perception is a critical determinant of technology adoption and encompasses awareness, attitude, and willingness to use AI in learning. Awareness refers to the knowledge students have about AI applications and their potential educational benefits (Ajiye & Ukpabi, 2025). Studies indicate that higher levels of AI awareness are associated with better engagement and utilization of AI-based learning tools (Sá & Serpa, 2021). Attitude captures students' positive or negative dispositions toward AI, including interest, confidence, and perceived usefulness. Research shows that students with favorable attitudes toward AI are more likely to experience enhanced cognitive and affective outcomes (Venkatesh et al., 2016; Woolf, 2019). Willingness reflects the readiness of students to adopt and integrate AI tools into their learning activities. Willingness is influenced by both prior exposure and perceived ease of use, and it serves as a predictor of sustained AI engagement (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020).

Readiness for AI Integration

Readiness is a multidimensional construct encompassing technological, psychological, and institutional preparedness.Technological preparedness involves the availability of devices, software, reliable internet connectivity, and students' digital competencies necessary for AI-enhanced learning (Ally, 2020; Kukulska-Hulme, 2019). Psychological preparedness refers to students' confidence, motivation, and adaptability in using AI tools, including their openness to innovative learning methods (Luckin et al., 2016; Ajiye, 2024). Institutional preparedness encompasses university support systems, including policies, infrastructure, faculty training, and access to AI-enabled learning platforms, which collectively enable effective AI integration (Ajiye & Omokhabi, 2025). Empirical evidence suggests that high levels of technological, psychological, and institutional readiness significantly enhance students' engagement, problem-solving ability, and overall learning performance (Pane et al., 2017; Sá & Serpa, 2021). Conversely, gaps in any of these dimensions may limit the potential benefits of AI integration.

Holistic Learning Outcomes

Learning outcomes in this study are conceptualized across three domains: cognitive, affective, and psychomotor, reflecting a comprehensive framework for evaluating educational success (Bloom, 1956; Anderson & Krathwohl, 2001). Cognitive outcomes involve knowledge acquisition, comprehension, critical thinking, and analytical skills. AI tools such as adaptive learning software and intelligent tutoring systems have been shown to enhance students' problem-solving abilities and understanding of complex concepts (Pane et al., 2017). Affective outcomes capture motivation, engagement, and attitudes toward learning. Personalized AI-based learning platforms provide feedback and progress monitoring that foster sustained motivation and positive emotional engagement (Sá & Serpa, 2021). Psychomotor outcomes refer to practical and technical skill development, including hands-on competencies and the application of theoretical knowledge to real-life or simulated tasks. AI-supported simulations, virtual labs, and intelligent tutoring systems contribute significantly to psychomotor skill acquisition (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020). Thus, students' perceptions and readiness for AI act as key predictors of holistic learning outcomes. Perception affects motivation and willingness to adopt AI, while readiness ensures the practical ability to engage with AI-enhanced tools. When these dimensions align, AI integration has the potential to improve cognitive understanding, emotional engagement,

and technical competence simultaneously, thereby promoting comprehensive learning experiences in higher education (Luckin et al., 2016; Ajiye & Omokhabi, 2025).

Theoretical Framework and Application to the Study

This study, Perception, Readiness, and Artificial Intelligence Adoption: Predicting Holistic Learning Outcomes among Nigerian Undergraduates, is grounded in the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Bloom's Taxonomy of Learning Domains, which together provide a robust theoretical basis for explaining both the adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and its educational outcomes. The Technology Acceptance Model, developed by Davis (1989), explains how individuals come to accept and use technology, emphasizing that perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use shape users' attitudes, behavioral intentions, and actual technology utilization. In this study, students' perceptions of AI, operationalized through awareness, attitude, and willingness, align closely with TAM's core constructs. Awareness reflects students' understanding of AI's usefulness in enhancing learning, attitude captures their evaluative disposition toward AI in terms of value and ease of use, while willingness represents behavioral intention to engage with AI tools. When combined with readiness factors—technological, psychological, and institutional preparedness—TAM explains how both internal dispositions and external enabling conditions determine sustained AI adoption in learning contexts.Complementing TAM, Bloom's Taxonomy of Learning Domains (Bloom, 1956; Anderson & Krathwohl, 2001) provides a holistic framework for evaluating educational outcomes by categorizing learning into cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains, which constitute the dependent variables of this study. Cognitive outcomes relate to knowledge acquisition, comprehension, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills enhanced through AI-driven tools such as adaptive learning platforms and intelligent tutoring systems. Affective outcomes encompass students' motivation, engagement, confidence, and attitudes toward learning, which are strengthened by personalized feedback, virtual tutors, and interactive AI-based learning environments. Psychomotor outcomes involve the development of practical and technical skills facilitated by AI-supported simulations, virtual laboratories, and hands-on digital learning activities.

The integration of TAM and Bloom's Taxonomy establishes a clear theoretical pathway linking perception and readiness to AI adoption and holistic learning outcomes. Students' awareness, attitudes, and willingness—supported by adequate technological access, psychological readiness, and institutional support—encourage effective AI utilization. This engagement with AI tools, in turn, leads to improvements across cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains, reflecting holistic learning development. In the context of Nigerian universities, particularly within Ibadan Metropolis, this integrated framework explains how students with high levels of perception and readiness are better positioned to leverage AI for deeper understanding, sustained motivation, and practical skill acquisition. The combination of TAM and Bloom's Taxonomy is therefore justified, as TAM explains why and how AI is adopted, while Bloom's framework clarifies what learning outcomes result from AI adoption, making them highly suitable for predicting and interpreting the joint influence of perception and readiness on holistic learning outcomes, which is central to the objectives of this study.

Methodology

The study adopted a descriptive survey design, which is appropriate for investigating undergraduates' perceptions, readiness, and experiences regarding the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in learning. The population comprised undergraduate students

enrolled in universities within Ibadan Metropolis, from which a sample of 300 students was drawn using stratified random sampling to ensure proportional representation across faculties and academic levels. Data were collected using the University Preparedness and Readiness for AI Questionnaire (UPRAIQ), which included sections on students' perceptions (awareness, attitude, and willingness to adopt AI), readiness (technological preparedness such as digital skills and device access, psychological preparedness including motivation and confidence, and institutional preparedness encompassing infrastructure, policies, and training), and learning outcomes across the cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains. The instrument demonstrated good reliability, with Cronbach's alpha values exceeding 0.80 for all subscales. Collected data were analyzed using descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages, means, and weighted means) to determine the levels of perception, readiness, and learning outcomes, while multiple regression analysis and ANOVA were employed to examine the influence of students' perceptions and readiness on holistic learning outcomes. Interpretation of mean scores followed the threshold: ≥3.00 as High, 2.50–2.99 as Moderate, and ≤2.50 as Low.

Results

Research Question One What is the level of **cognitive learning outcomes** influenced by undergraduates' perceptions and readiness for AI?

Table 1: Cognitive Learning Outcomes through AI

S/N	Item	SA (%)	A (%)	D (%)	SD (%)	Mean	SD
1	AI-powered tools help me recall and apply concepts learned in class more effectively.	118 (39.6)	111 (37.2)	44 (14.8)	25 (8.4)	3.08	0.98
2	I can analyse academic problems better with the guidance of AI-based learning platforms.	109 (36.6)	122 (40.9)	41 (13.8)	26 (8.7)	3.05	0.94
3	Adaptive learning software improves my comprehension of complex topics.	121 (40.6)	117 (39.3)	38 (12.8)	22 (7.3)	3.13	0.91
4	AI applications enable me to connect classroom knowledge with real-world situations.	128 (43.0)	107 (35.9)	41 (13.8)	22 (7.3)	3.15	0.93
5	I organise and synthesise information more efficiently with AI-assisted research tools.	115 (38.6)	123 (41.3)	36 (12.1)	24 (8.0)	3.10	0.96
6	My academic performance improves when I combine lecturer explanations with AI resources.	133 (44.6)	107 (35.9)	34 (11.4)	24 (8.0)	3.17	0.92
7	Intelligent tutoring systems make it easier for me to grasp difficult subject areas.	126 (42.3)	115 (38.6)	35 (11.7)	22 (7.4)	3.16	0.94
Weighted Mean = 3.12							

Source: Researcher's Fieldwork, 2025

Key: 4 **=** Strongly Agree (SA), 3 = Agree (A), 2 = Disagree (D) and 1 = Strongly Disagree (SD).

Threshold: Mean value of ≥ 3.00 (High), 2.5-2.99 (Moderate) and ≤ 2.50 (Low)

The cognitive dimension shows a high weighted mean score of 3.12, confirming that AI significantly strengthens students' knowledge and reasoning capacity. Respondents strongly agreed that AI tools enhanced comprehension (3.13), improved problem analysis (3.05), and facilitated the connection of theoretical knowledge to real-world applications (3.15). The highest impact was recorded in the area of academic performance, where AI complemented lecturers' explanations (3.17). These results indicate that AI is a strong catalyst for cognitive growth, enabling deeper understanding and analytical engagement.

Research Question Two: To what extent do perceptions and readiness for AI affect **affective learning outcomes?**

Table 2: perceptions and readiness for AI affecting **learning outcomes**

S/N	Item	SA (%)	A (%)	D (%)	SD (%)	Mean	SD
1	I feel more motivated to learn when AI tools make study sessions interactive.	124 (41.6)	110 (36.9)	39 (13.1)	25 (8.4)	3.12	0.95
2	I enjoy exploring AI-based applications that make learning engaging and personalised.	131 (44.0)	106 (35.6)	38 (12.8)	23 (7.6)	3.16	0.93
3	My confidence in academic work increases when AI provides instant feedback.	119 (39.9)	112 (37.6)	43 (14.4)	24 (8.1)	3.09	0.97
4	I value AI systems that monitor my progress and suggest areas for improvement.	121 (40.6)	113 (37.9)	41 (13.8)	23 (7.7)	3.11	0.95
5	I feel supported when chatbots or virtual tutors are available to answer academic questions.	126 (42.3)	111 (37.2)	39 (13.1)	22 (7.4)	3.14	0.92
6	AI-enhanced platforms make me more willing to take responsibility for my own learning.	117 (39.3)	118 (39.6)	42 (14.1)	21 (7.0)	3.11	0.94
7	I remain committed to my studies when AI tools reduce stress and simplify tasks.	123 (41.3)	115 (38.6)	38 (12.8)	22 (7.3)	3.14	0.91
Weighted Mean = 3.13							

Source: Researcher's Fieldwork, 2025

Key: 4 **=** Strongly Agree (SA), 3 = Agree (A), 2 = Disagree (D) and 1 = Strongly Disagree (SD).

Threshold: Mean value of ≥ 3.00 (High), 2.5-2.99 (Moderate) and ≤ 2.50 (Low)

The affective dimension also yielded a high weighted mean of 3.13, showing that AI promotes motivation and positive attitudes towards learning. Students particularly valued personalised AI learning platforms (3.16) and reported feeling supported by virtual tutors and chatbots (3.14). These tools enhanced confidence, sustained motivation, and nurtured greater responsibility for self-directed learning. The findings underscore that AI not only informs but also emotionally engages learners, making them more enthusiastic and consistent in their academic pursuits.

$H_0$1 There will be no significant joint influence of undergraduates' perceptions (awareness, attitude, and willingness) and readiness (technological, psychological, and institutional preparedness) to use artificial intelligence on learning outcomes (cognitive, affective, and psychomotor) in universities within Ibadan Metropolis.

Table 3: Summary of Regression Analysis Showing the Joint Contribution of Perceptions and Readiness on Learning Outcomes

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.714[a]	0.510	0.505	6.384

a. Predictors: (Constant), Awareness, Attitude, Willingness, Technological Preparedness, Psychological Preparedness, Institutional Preparedness

ANOVA[a]

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	51244.781	6	8540.797	209.726	0.000[b]
	Residual	49121.439	291	168.781		
	Total	100366.220	297			

a. Dependent Variable: Learning Outcomes

b. Predictors: (Constant), Awareness, Attitude, Willingness, Technological Preparedness, Psychological Preparedness, Institutional Preparedness

Source: Researcher's Fieldwork, 2025

Table 3 presents the regression analysis of undergraduates' perceptions and readiness on learning outcomes. The model summary indicates a correlation coefficient (R) of 0.714, suggesting a strong positive relationship between the predictors (perceptions and readiness) and students' AI-enhanced learning outcomes. The R-square value of 0.510 shows that 51.0% of the variance in learning outcomes can be explained by the combined influence of perceptions (awareness, attitude, and willingness) and readiness (technological, psychological, and institutional preparedness). The adjusted R-square (.505) further confirms the robustness of the model after accounting for sample size and predictors.

The ANOVA results reveal an F-statistic of 209.726 at a significance level of $p < 0.05$ (Sig. = .000), indicating that the regression model is statistically significant. This means the predictors jointly explain a significant proportion of variance in learning outcomes beyond what could occur by chance. Based on this finding, the null hypothesis ($H_0$3) stating that there will be no significant joint contribution of perceptions and readiness to AI use on learning outcomes is rejected.

The results provide evidence that undergraduates' perceptions (awareness, attitude, willingness) and readiness (technological, psychological, institutional preparedness) jointly exert a significant and positive influence on their learning outcomes (cognitive, affective, and psychomotor) in universities within Ibadan Metropolis. This underscores the importance of not only equipping students with technical infrastructure but also cultivating their motivation, attitudes, and institutional support systems to maximize the educational benefits of artificial intelligence.

Conclusion

The findings of this study demonstrate that undergraduates' perceptions and readiness for AI adoption significantly influence holistic learning outcomes. Students with high awareness, positive attitudes, willingness, and technological and psychological preparedness performed better across cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains. While institutional support was moderate, it still played a role in shaping learning experiences. The results highlight that AI adoption is not merely a technological issue but also a matter of students' mindset, skills, and the enabling institutional environment. Effective integration of AI into higher education can thus enhance knowledge acquisition, motivation, engagement, and practical skill development, fostering holistic learning outcomes.

Implications of the Study

1. Policy Implications: The findings underscore the importance of establishing comprehensive policies and strategic frameworks for AI integration in higher education. Universities and educational authorities should ensure that students have equitable access to AI-enabled devices, relevant software, and reliable internet connectivity. Policies should also address data privacy, ethical AI use, and standardized guidelines for incorporating AI into curricula. By doing so, educational stakeholders can create a structured environment that promotes effective and responsible AI adoption, ensuring that all students, regardless of faculty or socioeconomic background, can benefit from AI-enhanced learning.

2. Pedagogical Implications: Instructors and academic staff are encouraged to integrate AI tools into teaching, learning, and assessment processes. The use of AI can facilitate interactive and personalized learning, provide immediate feedback, and support practical skill acquisition through simulations and virtual laboratories. Educators should design lesson plans that leverage AI to enhance problem-solving, critical thinking, and hands-on learning, while also monitoring students' progress and adjusting instructional strategies based on AI-generated insights. This approach promotes active engagement and fosters a learning environment that aligns with the cognitive, affective, and psychomotor dimensions of holistic student development.

3. Institutional Implications: Universities must prioritize infrastructural and institutional support to ensure effective AI adoption. This includes providing well-equipped computer and AI labs, stable internet access, and AI software licenses, as well as regular training and orientation programs for students and lecturers. Institutional policies should also encou
rage the integration of AI into curriculum design, research activities, and co-curricular programs. By strengthening institutional preparedness, universities can create a supportive ecosystem where students feel empowered to explore AI tools confidently, thereby maximizing learning outcomes across all domains.

4. Student Development: The study highlights that psychological readiness—including confidence, motivation, and openness to technology—is as critical as technological resources for successful AI adoption. Universities should implement programs that develop students' self-efficacy, digital literacy, and positive attitudes toward AI. Encouraging peer mentoring, AI-focused workshops, and experiential learning opportunities can help students overcome technological anxiety and enhance their willingness to engage with AI tools. Fostering these skills ensures that students not only access AI resources but also effectively apply them to achieve meaningful cognitive, affective, and psychomotor learning outcomes.

5. Broader Educational Implications: Finally, the study suggests that AI adoption can serve as a catalyst for holistic educational transformation in Nigerian universities. By aligning policy, pedagogy, and institutional support with students' readiness and perceptions, higher education institutions can enhance learning efficiency, engagement, and skill development, ultimately producing graduates who are better prepared for the demands of a digital, technology-driven society.

Recommendations

1. Investment in Digital Infrastructure: Universities should prioritize substantial investment in robust digital infrastructure to facilitate effective AI integration. This includes providing reliable high-speed internet, AI-enabled software platforms, access to computers and mobile devices, and secure digital learning environments. Adequate infrastructure will ensure that students and lecturers can seamlessly interact with AI tools, access learning resources, and engage in both individual and collaborative AI-supported activities without technological barriers. Such investment will also enable universities to adopt innovative instructional approaches, including adaptive learning systems and virtual laboratories, which are essential for holistic cognitive, affective, and psychomotor development.
2. Regular Training and Orientation Programs: Institutions should organize continuous AI-focused training and orientation programs for both students and academic staff. These programs should aim to enhance technological competence, digital literacy, and psychological preparedness, fostering confidence and motivation in the use of AI for learning and teaching. Workshops, seminars, and hands-on practical sessions can provide students with opportunities to explore AI applications, troubleshoot challenges, and apply AI in academic contexts, while lecturers can learn to effectively integrate AI into curricula and assessments. Over time, such initiatives will strengthen the overall readiness of the academic community, ensuring that AI tools are utilized optimally.
3. Establishment of AI Guidelines and Standards: Educational policymakers should develop national or institutional guidelines and standards for AI adoption in universities. These guidelines should outline best practices for curriculum integration, ethical AI use, data privacy, and equitable access to AI resources. Clear standards will help universities implement AI consistently, reduce disparities between institutions, and ensure that students benefit from quality, safe, and structured AI-enabled learning experiences. Policy frameworks can also guide investment priorities and institutional strategies, promoting sustainable and effective AI integration across higher education.
4. Monitoring and Evaluation of AI Practices: Universities should implement mechanisms for monitoring and evaluating AI adoption and usage within teaching and learning. Regular assessment of AI initiatives can identify gaps in infrastructure, training, and institutional support, allowing timely corrective measures. Feedback from students and lecturers should be incorporated to enhance the functionality, accessibility, and relevance of AI tools, ensuring that AI integration aligns with learning outcomes and institutional objectives. Such evaluation processes foster a culture of continuous improvement, where AI adoption evolves in response to the dynamic needs of students and academic programs.
5. Encouraging Active Student Engagement: Students should be encouraged to actively engage with AI tools, develop digital competencies, and cultivate positive attitudes toward technology-enhanced learning. Universities can promote peer mentoring, AI-focused clubs,

hackathons, and collaborative projects to provide experiential learning opportunities. By building students' confidence, motivation, and willingness to experiment with AI applications, institutions can ensure that learners not only access AI resources but also effectively apply them to solve problems, enhance understanding, and develop practical skills. This proactive engagement will foster holistic learning outcomes and prepare graduates to thrive in a technology-driven society.

6. Integration of AI into Teaching and Assessment: Lecturers should be supported to integrate AI tools into both instructional delivery and assessment practices. Using AI for personalized learning, adaptive assessments, and real-time feedback can enhance students' engagement and performance, while also promoting critical thinking and problem-solving skills. This approach ensures that AI adoption is not limited to supplementary activities but becomes a core component of pedagogy, driving meaningful improvements in cognitive, affective, and psychomotor outcomes.

References

Acosta-Enriquez, B. G., & Facil, A. (2024). Acceptance of artificial intelligence in university contexts: A UTAUT2 analysis. *Computers in Human Behavior, 150*, 107–122.

Adebayo, M., & Ojo, F. (2023). Artificial intelligence awareness and academic performance of undergraduate computer science students. *Journal of Digital Education Research, 2*(1), 45–59.

Ahmedi, P. K., Kurshumlija, A., & Ismajli, H. (2023). Teachers' attitudes towards constructivist approach to improving learning outcomes: The case of Kosovo. *International Journal of Instruction, 16*(1), 441–454.

Ajiye, O. T., & Omokhabi, A. A. (2025). The potential and ethical issues of artificial intelligence in improving academic writing. *ShodhAI: Journal of Artificial Intelligence, 2*(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.29121/ShodhAI.v2.i1.2025.241

Ajiye, O. T., Oyelowo, A. O., & Adejuwon, A. M. (2024). The role of digital technologies in the development of inclusive learning ecosystems in Nigeria. *International Journal of Continuing and Non-Formal Education, 11*(2), 16–31.

Akintoye, R., & Balogun, O. (2024). Readiness of Nigerian universities for AI tools. *Nigerian Educational Technology Journal, 27*(2), 89–107.

Alhassan, C., & Boateng, L. (2024). Institutional support and faculty development in AI integration. *International Journal of Educational Research, 126*, 101–118.

Allen, C., & Green, R. (2023). Artificial intelligence and student engagement in higher education. *Journal of Educational Technology Research, 45*(1), 15–32.

Almulla, M. A. (2023). Constructivism learning theory: A paradigm for students' critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving to affect academic performance in higher education. *Cogent Education, 10*(1), 217–232.

Alzahrani, A. (2023). An analysis of the technology acceptance model (TAM) in understanding faculty's behavioral intention to use the Internet of Things (IoT). *International Journal of Educational Research and Innovation, 19*, 153–169.

Baig, M. I., & Yadegaridehkordi, E. (2024). ChatGPT in higher education: A systematic literature review and research challenges. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 21*(1), 1–28.

Bayaga, A. (2024). Ramifications of the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT): Limitations and future research. *Education and Information Technologies, 29*(4), 4513–4534.

Bello, A., & Mensah, C. (2023). Synergistic effects of awareness, attitudes, and willingness on student learning outcomes in AI-based education. *Contemporary Studies in Educational Technology, 3*(4), 88–106.

Bond, M. (2024). A meta-systematic review of artificial intelligence in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 21*(1), 1–34.

Budhathoki, T. (2024). Psychological readiness for AI in higher education: Student perspectives. *Education and Information Technologies, 29*(5), 6147–6165.

Cabero-Almenara, J., Palacios-Rodríguez, A., Loaiza-Aguirre, M., & Andrade-Abarca, P. (2024). The impact of pedagogical beliefs on the adoption of generative AI in higher education: A predictive model from UTAUT2. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, 7*, 149–164.

Çibukçiu, B. (2025). The relationship between constructivist approach and inclusive education in primary school. *Frontiers in Education, 10*, Article 1600711.

Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Institutional readiness for AI in higher education: A comparative study. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 39*(2), 25–45.

Du, Z. (2024). The application of constructivist educational theory in classroom practice in higher vocational colleges. *International Journal of Education & Learning, 11*(2), 137–152.

Feng, J. (2025). Key factors influencing educational technology adoption in higher education: A UTAUT-based systematic review. *Education and Information Technologies, 10*(2), 1–15.

Létourneau, A., Deslandes Martineau, M., Charland, P., Karran, J. A., Boasen, J., & Léger, P. M. (2025). A systematic review of AI-driven intelligent tutoring systems in K–12 and higher education. *NPJ Science of Learning, 10*, Article 29.

Li, K. (2023). Determinants of college students' actual use of AI-based systems: An extension of the technology acceptance model. *Sustainability, 15*(6), Article 5221.

Ojokheta, K., & Omokhabi, A. A. (2023). Project initiatives on inclusive and equitable use of artificial intelligence in education: Lessons for policy direction in Nigeria. *COUNS-EDU: The International Journal of Counselling and Education, 8*(3). https://doi.org/10.23916/002030845430

Omokhabi, A. A. (2021). Technology and parenting in the digital age: Opportunities and risks. *African Journal of Adult Learning, 1*, 37–56.

Omokhabi, A. A. (2023). Using digital technology to enhance adolescent and young adult development: Implications for child welfare in Nigeria. *Simulacra, 6*(1), 1–16.

Omokhabi, U. S., Omilani, M. A., Adegbite, O. O., & Omokhabi, A. A. (2025). The dark side of online learning: Examining cyberbullying and student engagement. *Unizik Journal of Educational Research and Policy Studies, 19*(2), 388–404.

Ukpabi, D. I., & Ajiye, O. T. (2025). Technologies in literacy education research. *Unizik Journal of Educational Research and Policy Studies, 19*(2), 364–376.