



## A THEOREM ON GENERALIZED $|v, \lambda|_k$ SUMMABILITY OF INFINITE SERIES

**Dr. Pragati Sinha**

Associate Professor

Dept. of Applied Sc. &

Humanities Mangalmai Institute of  
Engg. & Tech.

Greater Noida, U.P. -201308

Email:

pragati.sinha.saxena@gmail.com

**Dr. Sanjeev Kumar Saxena**

Associate Professor

Department of Mathematics

N.M.S.N. Das (P.G.) College,

Budaun, U.P.-243601

---

### ABSTRACT

#### 1.1 DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Let  $\sum a_n$  be a given infinite series,  $s_n$  as its  $n^{\text{th}}$  partial sum. Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$  be a monotonic, non-decreasing sequence of natural numbers with  $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n \leq 1$  and  $\lambda_1 = 1$ . The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$V_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+1}}^n s_v$$

defines the generalized de la Vallée Poissin means of the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  generated by the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$ . The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|V, \lambda|$  if the sequence  $\{V_n(\lambda)\}$  is of bounded variation, that is to say

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |V_{n+1}(\lambda) - V_n(\lambda)| < \infty \quad (1.1)$$

The series  $\sum a_n$  will be said to be summable  $|V, \lambda|_k, k \geq 1$ , if the series



$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{k-1} |V_{n+1}(\lambda) - V_n(\lambda)|^k < \infty.$$

For  $\lambda_n = n$ , it reduces to  $|C, 1|_k$  and for  $k = 1$  it is the same as  $|v, \lambda|$ .

If 
$$\sum_{v=1}^n \frac{|s_v|}{v} = O(\log \frac{1}{\varphi_n}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

then  $\sum a_n$  is said to be strongly bounded by logarithmic means with index 1 or simply bounded  $[R, \log \frac{1}{\varphi_n}, 1]$  we shall write throughout for any sequence  $\{\epsilon_n\}$ .

We shall have the occasions to write

$$\Delta \epsilon_n = \epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}$$

$$\Delta^2 \epsilon_n = \Delta(\Delta \epsilon_n)$$

A sequence  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  is said to be convex if

$$\Delta^2 \epsilon_n \geq 0, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

**1.2** MISHRA and SHRIVASTAVA [2] have proved the following theorem:

Let  $\{\psi_n\}$  is a +ve nondecreasing sequence and  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  are such that

$$|\Delta \epsilon_n| \leq \beta_n \tag{1.2.1}$$

$$\beta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.2.2}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Delta \beta_n| \varphi_n < \infty \tag{1.2.3}$$

$$|\epsilon_n| \varphi_n = o(1) \tag{1.2.4}$$

If

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \frac{|s_v|^k}{v} = O(\varphi_n), \text{ for } k \geq 1 \tag{1.2.5}$$

then  $\sum a_n \epsilon_n |C, I|$  is summable.



Generalized the above theorem for  $|V, \lambda|_k$  summability SHARMA and SINHA [3] have proved the following theorem:

**THEOREM B:** Let  $\{\psi_n\}$  be a +ve nondecreasing sequence and there sequence  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\lambda_n\}$  are such that

$$|\Delta\epsilon_n| \leq \beta_n \quad (1.2.6)$$

$$\beta_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.2.7)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\Delta\beta_n| \varphi_n < \infty \quad (1.2.8)$$

$$\text{If } \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{|s_v|^k}{\lambda_v} = o(\psi_n) \quad (1.2.9)$$

for  $k \geq 1$  then  $\sum a_n \epsilon_n, |v, \lambda|_k$  is summable

where

$$\psi_n = \sum_{v=1}^n \lambda_v^{-1}.$$

The object of this paper is to generalized the theorem *A* and *B*.

**1.3 THEOREM:** Let  $\{\psi_n\}$  is a +ve nondecreasing sequence and the sequence  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  are such that

$$|\Delta\epsilon_n| \leq \beta_n \quad (1.3.0)$$

$$\beta_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.3.1)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |\Delta\beta_n| \psi_n < \infty \quad (1.3.2)$$

$$\text{If } |\epsilon_n| \psi_n = o(1) \quad (1.3.3)$$



$$\sum_{v=1}^n \frac{|s_v|^k}{v} = o(\psi_n \rho_n), k \geq 1 \quad (1.3.4)$$

where  $\psi_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-1}$  and  $\{\rho_n\}$  are such type of +ve non-decreasing sequence that

$$\lambda_n \psi_n \rho_n \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_n} \right) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.3.5)$$

then  $\sum \frac{a_n \epsilon_n}{\rho_n}, |v, \lambda|_k$  is summable.

We shall use the following Lemma in the proof of our theorem.

**1.4 Lemma** [3], If  $\{\psi_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem then

$$\lambda_n \psi_n, \beta_n = O(1) \quad (1.4.1)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \psi_n < \infty \quad (1.4.2)$$

### Proof of the Theorem

Let  $T_n = v_{n+1}(\lambda; \epsilon_n) - v_n(\lambda; \epsilon_n)$

where  $v_n(\lambda) \in$  is  $n^{\text{th}}$  De LaPoussian Pale mean. Then to prove the theorem we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{k-1} |I_n|^k < \infty.$$

Let  $\Sigma' n$  is the summation which satisfying that  $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n$ , and  $\Sigma'' n$  runs over from  $\lambda_{n+1} > \lambda_n$

then

$$T_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^{n+1} [(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n) + \lambda_n] \frac{a_v \epsilon_v}{\rho_v}$$

when  $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n$  then we get



$$T_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^{n+1} \frac{a_v \epsilon_v}{\rho_v}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^{n+1} \frac{va_v \epsilon_v}{\rho_v}$$

Applying the Abel's transformation we have

$$T_n = [\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3]$$

where

$$\Sigma_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \Delta\left(\frac{\epsilon_v}{v\rho_v}\right) \sum_{r=0}^v ra_r$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \Delta\left(\frac{\epsilon_v}{v\rho_v}\right) (v+1)s_v$$

$$\Sigma_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \frac{\epsilon_{n+1}}{(n+1)\rho_{n+1}} \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} ra_r$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \frac{n+1}{\rho_{n+1}} s_{n+1}$$

and

$$\Sigma_3 = \frac{\epsilon_n - \lambda_{n+2}}{n+1(n-\lambda_{n+2})\rho_n - \lambda_{n+2}} \sum_{r=0}^{n-\lambda_{n+1}} ra_r$$

$$= \frac{n - \lambda_{n+2}s_n - \lambda_{n+2}}{n+1\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+2}}$$

Now we shall discuss at  $\Sigma_1$  we have

$$\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_{11} + \Sigma_{12} + \Sigma_{13} + \Sigma_{14}$$

where



$$\Sigma_{11} = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{\Delta \epsilon_v s_v}{\rho_v}$$

$$\Sigma_{12} = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{\Delta \epsilon_v s_v}{\rho_v}$$

$$\Sigma_{13} = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{\Delta \epsilon_{v+1} s_v}{\rho_v}$$

and

$$\Sigma_{14} = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \epsilon_{v+1} s_v \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right)$$

so we have to prove, by Bosanquet's inequality that

$$\Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_{1r}|^k < \infty \text{ for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

$$\Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_2|^k < \infty$$

$$\Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_3|^k < \infty.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_{11}|^k \\ &= \Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \Delta \frac{\epsilon_v s_v}{\rho_v} \right|^k \\ &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\Delta \epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{\rho_v} \right] \\ &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\Delta \epsilon_v| \cdot |s_v|^k}{\rho_v} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\Delta \epsilon_v|^{k-1}}{\rho_v} \right] \\ &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\Delta \epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{f_v} \right] \end{aligned}$$



$$= O(1) \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|s_v|^k |\Delta \epsilon_v|}{\rho_v} \sum_{n=v}^{v+\lambda_v-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right]$$

$$= O(1) \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|s_v|^k}{\rho_v} \beta_v \right]$$

Now

$$\sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|s_v|^k}{\lambda_v} \frac{\beta_v \lambda_v}{\rho_v} = \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta \left\{ \frac{\beta_v \lambda_v}{v} \right\} \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{|s_r|^k}{r} + \frac{\lambda_m}{\rho_{m+1}} \frac{\beta_m + 1}{m+1} \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|s_v|^k}{v}$$

$$= \Sigma_{11}^{(1)} + \Sigma_{11}^{(2)} + \Sigma_{11}^{(3)} + \Sigma_{11}^{(4)}$$

where

$$\Sigma_{11}^{(1)} = \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta \beta_v \lambda_v \quad \psi_v = O(1)$$

$$\Sigma_{11}^{(2)} = \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_{v+1} \Delta \lambda_v \quad \varphi_v = O(1)$$

$$\Sigma_{11}^{(3)} = \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_{v+1} \lambda_{v+1} \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \rho_v \psi_v = O(1)$$

and

$$\Sigma_{11}^{(4)} \Rightarrow \lambda_m \beta_{m+1} \varphi_m = O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

by the condition (1.3.1), (1.3.2), (1.3.3) and (1.3.4) and by the properties of Lemma. So,

$$\Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_{11}|^k < \infty.$$

We discuss

$$\Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} \left| \sum_{12}^k \right| = \sum_{12}^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} \left| \lambda_n \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^n \frac{|\Delta \epsilon_v| |s_v|}{v \rho_v} \right|^k$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left\{ \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\Delta \epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{\rho_v} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n}^n \frac{\beta_v}{v \rho_v} \right\}^{k-1} \right] \\
 &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{\beta_v |s_v|^k}{v \rho_v} \right] \\
 &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{\beta_v |s_v|^k}{v \rho_v} \right] \\
 &= O(1) \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_v |s_v|^k}{v \rho_v} \sum_{n=v}^{v+\lambda_v-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{\beta_v \lambda_v |s_v|^k}{v \rho_v} &= \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta \left\{ \frac{\beta_v \lambda_v}{v \rho_v} \right\} \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{|s_r|}{\lambda_r} + \frac{\beta_m \lambda_m}{m \rho_m} \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{|s_r|^k}{\lambda_r} \\
 &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma_{12}^{(1)} + \Sigma_{12}^{(2)} + \Sigma_{12}^{(3)} + \Sigma_{12}^{(4)} + \Sigma_{12}^{(5)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Sigma_{12}^{(1)} = \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \frac{|\Delta \beta_v| \lambda_v \psi_v}{v} = O(1)$$

$$\Sigma_{12}^{(2)} = \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \frac{\beta_{v+1} \Delta \lambda_v \varphi_v}{v} = O(1)$$

$$\Sigma_{12}^{(3)} = \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \frac{\beta_{v+1} \lambda_{v+1} \psi_v}{v(v+1)} = O(1)$$

$$\Sigma_{12}^{(4)} = \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \frac{\beta_{v+1} \lambda_{v+1}}{(v+1)} \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \rho_v \psi_v = O(1)$$

and

$$\Sigma_{12}^{(5)} = \frac{\beta_m \lambda_m \psi_m}{m} = O(1)$$





as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  by the hypothesis of the theorem and the property of Lemma so,

$$\Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_{12}|^k < \infty$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_{13}|^k \\ &= \Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\epsilon_{v+1}| |s_v|}{v \rho_v} \right|^k \\ &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|}{v \rho_v} \right]^k \\ &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{v \rho_v} \left\{ \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\epsilon_v|}{v \rho_v} \right\}^{k-1} \right] \\ &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{v \rho_v} \right] \\ &= O(1) \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{v \rho_v} \sum_{v=n}^{v+\lambda_v-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right] \\ &= O(1) \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{v \rho_v} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{v \rho_v} = \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|\epsilon_v| \lambda_v |s_v|^k}{v \rho_v \lambda_v} \\ &= O(1) \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|}{v \rho_v} \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{|s_r|^k}{r} + \frac{|\epsilon_m| \lambda_m}{m \rho_m} \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{|s_r|^k}{r} \right] \end{aligned}$$



where

$$= O(1) \left[ \Sigma_{13}^{(1)} + \Sigma_{13}^{(2)} + \Sigma_{13}^{(3)} + \Sigma_{13}^{(4)} + \Sigma_{13}^{(5)} \right]$$

$$\Sigma_{13}^{(1)} = \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \frac{\beta_v \lambda_v \psi_v}{v} = O(1)$$

$$\Sigma_{13}^{(2)} = \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \frac{|\epsilon_{v+1}| \Delta \lambda_v \varphi_v}{v} = O(1)$$

$$\Sigma_{13}^{(3)} = \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \frac{|\epsilon_{v+1}| \lambda_{v+1} \psi_v \rho_v}{v} \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) = O(1)$$

$$\Sigma_{13}^{(4)} = \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \frac{|\epsilon_{v+1}| \lambda_{v+1} \psi_v}{v(v+1)} = O(1)$$

and

$$\Sigma_{13}^{(5)} = \frac{|\epsilon_m| \lambda_m \psi_m}{m} = O(1)$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  by the hypothesis and Lemmaso

$$\epsilon^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_{13}|^k < \infty$$

$$\Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_{14}|^k =$$

$$= \Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \epsilon_{v+1} s_v \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \right|^k$$

$$= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n |\epsilon_v| |s_v| \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \right\}^k \right]$$

$$= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left\{ \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n |\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \right\} \times \right]$$



$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left[ \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^n |\epsilon_v| \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right)^{k-1} \right] \\ & = O(1) \left[ \sum^1 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^n |\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \right] \\ & = O(1) \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \sum_{v=n-\lambda_n+2}^{v=\lambda_n-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right] \\ & = O(1) \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=1}^m |\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) &= \sum_{v=1}^m |\epsilon_v| \lambda_v \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \frac{|s_v|^k}{\lambda_v} \\ &= O(1) \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta \left\{ |\epsilon_v| \lambda_v \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{|s_r|^k}{\lambda_r} + \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + |\epsilon_m| \lambda_m \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_m} \right) \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{|s_r|^k}{\lambda_r} \right\}^3 \right] \\ &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma_{14}^{(1)} + \Sigma_{14}^{(2)} + \Sigma_{14}^{(3)} + \Sigma_{14}^{(4)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{14}^{(1)} &= \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v \lambda_v \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \rho_v \psi_v = O(1) \\ \Sigma_{14}^{(2)} &= \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\epsilon_{v+1}| \Delta \lambda_v \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \psi_v = O(1) \\ \Sigma_{14}^{(3)} &= \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\epsilon_{v+1}| \lambda_{v+1} \Delta^2 \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \rho_v \varphi_v = O(1) \end{aligned}$$



$$\Sigma_{14}^{(4)} = |\epsilon_m| \lambda_m \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \quad \rho_m \psi_m = O(1)$$

asm  $\rightarrow \infty$  by the hypothesis and Lemmaso,

$$\Sigma \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_{14}|^k < \infty$$

We get more than

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_2|^k + \Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_3| \\ &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{|\epsilon_n| |s_n|^k}{\lambda_n |\rho_n|^k} \right] \\ &= O(1) \left[ \Sigma^1 \frac{|\epsilon_n| |s_n|^n}{\lambda^n \rho_n} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^m \frac{|\epsilon_n| |s_n|^k}{\lambda_n \rho_n} &= \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta \left( \frac{|\epsilon_n|}{\rho_n} \right) \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{|s_r|^k}{\lambda_r} + \frac{|\epsilon_m|}{m} \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{|s_r|^k}{\lambda_r} \\ &= O(1) [\Sigma^{(1)} + \Sigma^{(2)} + \Sigma^{(3)}] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^{(1)} &= \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \epsilon_n| \psi_n \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \beta_n \varphi_n \\ &= O(1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Sigma^{(2)} = \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |\epsilon_{n+1}| \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_n} \right) \quad \rho_n \psi_n = o(1)$$

and



$$\Sigma^{(3)} = |\epsilon_m| \varphi_m = O(1)$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  by the hypothesis of theorem and the property of Lemma. So,

$$\Sigma \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_2|^k + \Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_3|^k < \infty$$

by the reason

$$\Sigma^1 \lambda_n^{k-1} |T_n|^k < \infty$$

where  $\lambda_{n+1} > \lambda_n$ , then we get

$$T_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+1}}^{n+1} (\lambda_v + v - n - 1) \frac{\epsilon_v v a_v}{v \rho_v}$$

$$T_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+1}}^v (\lambda_v + v - n - 1) v a_v \frac{\epsilon_v}{v \rho_v}$$

Applying the Abel's transformation we get

$$T_n = [\Sigma_1^1 + \Sigma_2^1 + \Sigma_3^1]$$

where

$$\Sigma_1^1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_n 2} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \Delta \left\{ (\lambda_n + v - n - 1) \frac{\epsilon_v}{v \rho_v} \right\} v s_v$$

$$\Sigma_2^1 = \frac{\epsilon_{n+1} s_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1} \rho_{n+1}}$$

and

$$\Sigma_3^1 = \frac{\epsilon_n - \lambda_{n+2} s_n - \lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1} \rho_n - \lambda_{n+2}}$$

So it is sufficient to show that

$$\Sigma'' \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_r^l|^k < \infty \quad r = 1, 2, 3$$



we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma'' \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma'_r| \\ &= \Sigma'' \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \left| \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^v \Delta \left\{ (\lambda_v + n - v - 1) \frac{\epsilon_v}{v\rho_v} \right\} v s_v \right|^k \\ &\leq \Sigma'' \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \left[ \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^v \left| \Delta \left\{ (\lambda_v + n - v - 1) \frac{\epsilon_v}{v\rho_v} \right\} v s_v \right|^k \right] \\ & \left| \Delta \left\{ (\lambda_v + n - v - 1) \frac{\epsilon_v}{v\rho_v} \right\} \right| \leq \lambda_v \Delta \left( \frac{|\epsilon_v|}{v\rho_v} \right) + \left( \frac{|\epsilon_v|}{v\rho_v} \right) \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\Sigma \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma_1^{1k}|^k = O(1) \quad [\Sigma'_{11} + \Sigma''_{12}]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma'_{11} &= \Sigma'' \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{r=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \lambda_n \Delta \left( \frac{|\epsilon_v|}{v\rho_v} \right) v |s_v|^k \right\} \\ \Sigma'_{12} &= \Sigma'' \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^{\lambda_{n+2}} \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{\rho_v}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we discuss

$$\Sigma'_{11} = \Sigma'_{11}^{(1)} + \Sigma'_{11}^{(12)} + \Sigma'_{11}^{(3)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma'_{11}^{(1)} &= \Sigma'' \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{\lambda_v |\Delta \epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{\rho_v} \right\} \\ &= O(1) \Sigma'' \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{\lambda_v \beta_v |s_v|^k}{\rho_v} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|s_v|^k \lambda_v^{\beta v}}{\rho_v} \sum_{n \geq v}'' \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|s_v| \beta_v}{\rho_v} \\
 &= O(1) \text{ as we have proved.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma'_{11}(2) &= \Sigma'' \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{r=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\epsilon_{v+1}| |s_v|^k}{(v+1)\rho_v} \lambda_v \right\} \\
 &= O(1) \Sigma'' \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k \lambda_v}{v\rho_v} \right\} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{v\rho_v} \lambda_v \sum_{n \geq v}'' \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{v\rho_v} = O(1) \text{ as we have proved.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma'_{11}(3) &= \Sigma'' \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n |\epsilon_{v+1}| |s_v|^k \lambda_v \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \\
 &= O(1) \Sigma'' \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \left\{ |\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k \lambda_v \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \right\} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k \lambda_v \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) \sum_{n \geq v}'' \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k \lambda_v \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\rho_v} \right) = O(1) \text{ as we have proved}
 \end{aligned}$$



so,

$$\Sigma'_{11} = \Sigma'_{11(1)} + \Sigma'_{12(2)} + \Sigma'_{13(3)} = O(1)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma'_{12} &= \Sigma'' \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \sum_{v=n-\lambda_{n+2}}^n \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{\rho_v} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{\rho_v} \sum_{n \geq v}'' \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{k+1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\epsilon_v| |s_v|^k}{\rho_v} \\ &= O(1) \text{ as we have proved.} \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\Sigma'' \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma'_1|^k = O(1)[O(1) + O(1)]$$

by this reason

$$\Sigma'' \lambda_n^{k+1} |\Sigma'_1|^k < \infty$$

Similarly,

$$\Sigma'' \lambda_n^{k+1} |\Sigma'_2|^k = O(1)$$

as we have proved already for  $\Sigma_2$ .

In the end

$$\Sigma'' \lambda_n^{k-1} |\Sigma'_3|^k = O(1)$$

as we have proved already for  $\Sigma_3$ . In this way proved theorem.

## REFERENCES

- [1] LEINDLER, L. : On the absolute summability factors of Fourier series, Acta.





Sci. Math., Szeged 28, 323-336 (1967).

- [2] MISHRA, K.N. : On absolute Cesaro summability factors of Infinite Series  
AND SHRIVASTAVA, Portugaliae Math. Vol. 42 Fase 1 (1983-84).

R.S.L.

- [3] SHARMA, N.K, AND : On  $|\nu, \lambda|_k$  summability factors of Infinite series (in press).

SINHA, R.